IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

C.W.P. No. 9840 of 2007

Date of decision: August 16, 2007

Harjeet Singh

... Petitioner

versus

State Bank of Patiala and others

... Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice M.M. Kumar.
Hon'ble Mr. Justice A.N. Jindal.

Present: Mr. N.K. Verma,, Advocate
for the petitioner.

M.M. Kumar, J

On 6.7.2007, while issuing notice of motion, we had stayed the dispossession subject to the deposit of a sum of Rs.3,00,000/- on or before 31.7.2007. On query made by this Court, Mr. Verma, states that the petitioner has not been able to deposit the aforementioned amount. A perusal of the office report shows that even process fee has not been filed.

In view of the above, the petitioner has failed to establish his bonafide and has violated the earlier order dated 6.7.2007, therefore, he is not entitled to any relief in exercise of jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution.

The writ petition stands dismissed.

(M.M. Kumar)
Judge

August 16, 2007
deepak

(A.N. Jindal)
Judge